UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,495	05/04/2001	Denis Khoo	6000-005-52	8842
47604 DLA PIPER U	7590 ' 07/17/2007	•	EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 9271			LE, KHANH H	
RESTON, VA	20195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

O9/849,495

Examiner

Khanh H. Le

Applicant(s)

KHOO ET AL.

Art Unit

3622

	Khanh H. Le	3622			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Khanh H. Le</u> .	(3)Ki Kim for Applicants.				
(2) <u>Dale Lazar for Applicants</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 26 June 2007.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)  applicant's representative	)]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: 28,40 and 45.	,				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Logan US 5721827; Garg, US 6571216</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	//A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON REVERSE SIDE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO		

DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1) removal of "supply and demand for each user depending on" was suggested by Mr Kim and Lazar. Examiner agrees that would remove the 35 USC 112,1st and 2nd paragraphs issues as indicated in the Office Action (OA) mailed 04/05/2007 at page 3, paragraph.9

2) Applicants take issue with the last OA not addressing the alternative branch of the "providing" step as set forth in the OA at page 5. The Examiner suggests to either put the arguments on that issue in the Response or to call back for another interview where the Primary Examiner, Mr. Champagne would be present. Examiner Le does not agree the argument presented at the interview was persuasive. 3) Examiner Le pointed out that even if the 2nd branch of the "providing" step was considered, prior art had been applied earlier to language as now proposed, namely the choice compensation being based on the demographics of the user. See OA's mailed 03/14/05 or 12/06/05, or 08/23/06. 4) Mr Kim and Mr. Lazar agree to reconsider the prosecution history of this application and that of application 09/625832 (now Pat.# 7124091) before filing a response or requesting another interview.